

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/718,955	Applicant(s) JOHNSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Sarae Bausch	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) Sarae Bausch.

(2) Cynthia Rothschild.

Status of Application: allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 December 2006

Time: 4:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 10-12, 17-18

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed amendment to claims 1-2, 5-7, 10-12 and 17-18 was discussed that would put the claims in condition for allowance. The proposed amendment to the claims was the removal of the recitation of SEQ ID No. 2-6 in each of the claims. The amendment to the claims was agreed upon. Additionally, method claims 5-7 and 10-12 with the proposed amendment of the removal of SEQ ID No. 2-6 would be rejoined with the product claims of 1-3 and 17-18..